

Bernoulli's Scholium

In the scholium section of Bernoulli's proof he gives a correct argument that $\frac{W_{NR}}{W_{NR+N}}$ (Bernoulli's M/L) and $\frac{W_{NR}}{W_{NR-N}}$ (Bernoulli's M/Λ) can be made as large as desired by making N sufficiently large.

$$\frac{M}{L} = \frac{NRS + NS}{NRS - NR + R} * \frac{NRS + NS - S}{NRS - NR + 2R} * \dots * \frac{NRS + NS - (K-1)S}{NRS - NR + KR} * \dots * \frac{NRS + S}{NRS}$$

Notice that the numerators are getting smaller and the denominators are getting larger as we go from left to right and that the rightmost fraction $\frac{NRS + S}{NRS} > 1$.

Therefore for $K < N$

$$\frac{NRS + NS}{NRS - NR + R} * \frac{NRS + NS - S}{NRS - NR + 2R} * \dots * \frac{NRS + NS - (K-1)S}{NRS - NR + KR} < M/L$$

and since the Kth term is smaller than the preceding terms

$$\left[\frac{NRS + NS - (K-1)S}{NRS - NR + KR} \right]^K < M/L$$

If K is such that $\left(\frac{R+1}{R}\right)^K \geq C(S-1)$, then if we can make

$$\frac{NRS + NS - (K-1)S}{NRS - NR + KR} \geq \frac{R+1}{R} \quad \text{Then } M/L \geq C(S-1).$$

Solving for N in the equation $\frac{NRS + NS - (K-1)S}{NRS - NR + KR} = \frac{R+1}{R}$

we get $N = \frac{K(T+1) - S}{R+1}$.

In Bernoulli's example $R=30$, $S=20$, $C = 1000$. So since $T=R+S$, $T=50$.
 $C(S-1) = 19,000$ and $K = 301$, since $\left(\frac{30+1}{30}\right)^{301} > 19,000$.

So $N = \frac{301(50+1)-20}{30+1} > 494$. So $NT = 495*50 = 24,750$.

$$\frac{M}{\Lambda} = \frac{NRS + NR}{NRS - NS + S} * \frac{NRS + NR - R}{NRS - NS + 2S} * \dots * \frac{NRS + NR - (K-1)R}{NRS - NS + KS} * \dots * \frac{NRS + R}{NRS}$$

So by the same reasoning as above we get

$$\left[\frac{NRS + NR - (K-1)R}{NRS - NS + KS} \right]^K < M/\Lambda$$

If K is such that $\left(\frac{S+1}{S}\right)^K \geq C(R-1)$ then if we can make

$$\frac{NRS + NR - (K-1)R}{NRS - NS + KS} \geq \frac{S+1}{S} \text{ Then } M/\Lambda \geq C(R-1) .$$

Solving for N in the equation $\frac{NRS + NR - (K-1)R}{NRS - NS + KS} = \frac{S+1}{S}$ we get

$$N = \frac{K(T+1) - R}{S+1} \text{ So in Bernoulli's example, } K \text{ is } 211 \text{ since}$$

$$\left(\frac{20+1}{20}\right)^{211} > 29,000. \text{ So } N = (211(50+1)-30)/(20+1) = 511.$$

So $NT = 511x50 = 25,550$. Since $25,550 > 24,750$ if
 $NT = 25,550$ we're guaranteed that it will be at least 1000 times more
likely that the relative frequency of successes lies in the range $3/5-1/50$
through $3/5 + 1/50$ than outside that range.

Comment

In my paper Bernoulli's Theorem Part One Lemma 8 it is shown that if $M/L \geq C(S-1)$ then the ratio of the sum of the number of ways of getting from $NR + 1$ successes to $NR + N$ successes to the sum of the number of ways of getting more than $NR + N$ successes will be greater than C . Similarly, in Part Two it is shown that if $M/\Lambda \geq C(R-1)$ then the ratio of the sum of the number of ways of getting $NR - 1$ through $NR - N$ successes to the sum of the number of ways of getting less than $NR - N$ successes will be greater than C .

So if N is such that $M/L \geq C(S-1)$ and $M/\Lambda \geq C(R-1)$, it is guaranteed that it will be at least C times more likely that the relative frequency of successes in NT trials will be in the range $R/T - 1/T$ through $R/T + 1/T$ than outside that range.

The reason Bernoulli uses $\frac{R+1}{R}$ for the equation $\frac{NRS + NS - (K-1)S}{NRS - NR + KR} = \frac{R+1}{R}$ is because he knew that this equation would have a solution for N .

Dividing the numerator and denominator on the left side of the equation by N gives $\frac{RS + S - (K-1)S / N}{RS - R + KR / N}$ The negative term in the numerator $(K-1)S/N$ will approach zero as N increases and the positive term in the

denominator KR/N will also approach zero, so Bernoulli knew the fraction would be increasing as N increases and would approach

$$\frac{RS + S}{RS - R} \text{ which is greater than } \frac{R+1}{R} .$$

Similarly, the same reasoning shows that $\frac{NRS + NR - (K-1)R}{NRS - NS + KS} = \frac{S+1}{S}$ has a solution for N .

Daniel Daniels

Updated 1/4/2024